

FOURIER-MUKAI TRANSFORMS OF CURVES AND PRINCIPAL POLARIZATIONS

MARCELLO BERNARDARA

ABSTRACT. Given a Fourier-Mukai transform $\Phi : \mathbf{D}(C) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(C')$ between the bounded derived categories of two smooth projective curves, we verify that the induced map $\phi : J(C) \rightarrow J(C')$ between the Jacobian varieties preserves the principal polarization if and only if Φ is an equivalence.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let C and C' be two smooth projective curves. Suppose we are given a Fourier-Mukai functor $\Phi_{\mathcal{E}} : \mathbf{D}(C) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(C')$, with kernel $\mathcal{E}$, between the bounded derived categories of the two curves. Recall that $\Phi_{\mathcal{E}}$ is the functor

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\mathcal{E}} : \mathbf{D}(C) &\longrightarrow \mathbf{D}(C') \\ \mathcal{F} &\longmapsto Rq_*(p^*\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{E}), \end{aligned}$$

where p and q are the projections of $C \times C'$ respectively on C and C' and $\mathcal{E}$ is an object of the bounded derived category $\mathbf{D}(C \times C')$ of the product of the two curves.

If $\Phi_{\mathcal{E}}$ is an equivalence, it is very well known that we get an isomorphism between the two curves. We can anyway ask ourselves if this derived Fourier Mukai transform (DFM for short) does carry an isomorphism between the Jacobian varieties preserving the principal polarizations.

In order to do that, recall the definition of the Jacobian variety as $\mathrm{Pic}^0(C)$, the degree zero part of the Picard group. What we are actually going to do is to make the DFM descend to an affine map $\Phi_e^P : \mathrm{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}(C) \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}(C')$ between the rational Picard groups.

We want to define a morphism $\phi_e^J : J(C) \rightarrow J(C')$ compatible with Φ_e^P . This is given in a unique way, since we know how it acts on the degree zero Picard group with rational coefficients. All this is done in Section 2. In Section 3 we answer the main question and we find a correspondence between the Torelli Theorem and the derived characterization of a smooth projective curve.

Notations.

For a smooth projective variety X we denote by $\mathbf{D}(X)$ the bounded derived category of coherent sheaves on X .

Given an object $\mathcal{E}$ in $\mathbf{D}(X)$, we denote by e the class $[\mathcal{E}]$ in $K(X)$ (see section 2).

We will use the underscore $_{\mathbb{Q}}$ to mean the tensor product with $\mathbb{Q}$. In particular $\text{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}(C)$ and $J_{\mathbb{Q}}(C)$ are used to mean respectively the Picard group and the Jacobian variety with rational coefficients of a smooth projective curve C .

Given a product $C \times C'$ of two smooth projective curves, we denote by p and q the projections of $C \times C'$ respectively on C and C' .

2. FROM DERIVED FOURIER-MUKAI TO JACOBIAN FOURIER

In this section, we describe how a DFM $\Phi_{\mathcal{E}}$ induces a unique morphism ϕ_e^J between the Jacobian varieties. In order to do that, we describe the morphism induced by $\Phi_{\mathcal{E}}$ on the rational Picard group, that is the degree one part of the rational Chow ring, using standard techniques such as Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch Theorem. What we find is actually an affine map between rational vector spaces and not a linear morphism. Then the morphism induced on the Jacobian variety with rational coefficients is the linearization of the affine map restricted to the degree zero part. Finally, if we know the action of a morphism on the Jacobian with rational coefficients, there is a unique way to extend it to the Jacobian variety.

The way how a DFM between two smooth projective varieties descends at the level of cohomology and gives an isomorphism between the rational cohomology rings is well known. A very good reference for that is the recent book by Huybrechts [H1].

The first step in making such a descent is going from derived categories to Grothendieck groups. Given C a smooth projective curve, to any object $\mathcal{E}$ in $\mathbf{D}(C)$, we can associate an element $[\mathcal{E}]$ in the Grothendieck group $K(C)$ by the alternate sum of the classes of cohomology sheaves of $\mathcal{E}$. We thus obtain a map $[\]$ from the isomorphism classes of $\mathbf{D}(C)$ to the Grothendieck group $K(C)$. Given $f : C \rightarrow C'$ a projective morphism between smooth projective curves, the pull back $f^* : K(C') \rightarrow K(C)$ defines a ring homomorphism. The generalized direct image $f_! : K(C) \rightarrow K(C')$, defined by $f_! \mathcal{F} = \sum (-1)^i R^i f_* \mathcal{F}$ for any coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on C , defines a group homomorphism.

We would like now to define a K -theoretic Fourier-Mukai transform (KFM). Given e a class in $K(C \times C')$, let us define

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_e^K : K(C) &\longrightarrow K(C') \\ f &\longmapsto q_!(p^* f \otimes e). \end{aligned}$$

If we are now given a DFM $\Phi_{\mathcal{E}} : \mathbf{D}(C) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(C')$ with kernel $\mathcal{E}$ in $\mathbf{D}(C \times C')$, we obtain the corresponding KFM $\Phi_e^K : K(C) \rightarrow K(C')$ by using the K -theoretic kernel $e := [\mathcal{E}]$, which is a class in $K(C \times C')$. By the compatibility of $f_!$ and f^* with $[\]$, we easily deduce the following

commutative diagram (see [H1], 5.2):

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{D}(C) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{\mathcal{E}}} & \mathbf{D}(C') \\ \downarrow [\] & & \downarrow [\] \\ K(C) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{[\mathcal{E}]}} & K(C'). \end{array}$$

We want to make a step further and consider rational Chow rings. Consider the exponential Chern character:

$$ch : K(C) \longrightarrow CH_{\mathbb{Q}}^*(C)$$

which maps a class of the Grothendieck group to a cycle in the Chow ring with rational coefficients. For a given $f : C \rightarrow C'$ we can define the pull-back $f^* : CH_{\mathbb{Q}}^*(C') \rightarrow CH_{\mathbb{Q}}^*(C)$ and the direct image $f_* : CH_{\mathbb{Q}}^*(C) \rightarrow CH_{\mathbb{Q}}^*(C')$. Anyway, in order to get a compatibility with the Chern character ch , the Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch Theorem has to be taken into account.

Theorem 2.1. (Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch). *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ a projective morphism of smooth projective varieties. Then for any e in $K(X)$*

$$ch(f_!(e)) = f_*(ch(e).Td(f)),$$

where $td(f)$ is the Todd class relative to f .

In our particular case, the Todd class relative to the projection q is the Todd class of the relative tangent sheaf:

$$Td(q) = 1 - \frac{1}{2}p^*K_C,$$

where K_C is the canonical bundle on C .

Now define the affine map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Phi_e^P : \text{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}(C) & \longrightarrow & \text{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}(C') \\ M & \longmapsto & q_*(p^*M.c_1(e) - \frac{1}{2}c_1(e).p^*K_C + \frac{1}{2}(c_1^2(e) + 2c_2(e))). \end{array}$$

The affine map Φ_e^P is the one induced by the DFM $\Phi_{\mathcal{E}}$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let C and C' be smooth projective curves and let us suppose there exists a Fourier-Mukai transform $\Phi_{\mathcal{E}} : \mathbf{D}(C) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(C')$ with kernel $\mathcal{E}$ in $\mathbf{D}(C \times C')$. Let $e := [\mathcal{E}]$ be the class in $K(C \times C')$ associated to $\mathcal{E}$. The Fourier-Mukai transform $\Phi_{\mathcal{E}}$ induces the affine map $\Phi_e^P : \text{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}(C) \rightarrow \text{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}(C')$ between rational Picard groups, that means that the diagram*

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{D}(C) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{\mathcal{E}}} & \mathbf{D}(C') \\ \downarrow c_1 \circ [\] & & \downarrow c_1 \circ [\] \\ \text{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}(C) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_e^P} & \text{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}(C'). \end{array}$$

is commutative.

Proof. Let us denote by M both an element of the rational Picard group $\text{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}(C)$ and its class in the Grothendieck group $K(C)$. We want to calculate the first Chern class $(ch(\Phi_e^K(M)))_1$.

We have the following chain of equalities:

$$(ch(q_!(p^*M \otimes e)))_1 = (q_*(ch(p^*M \otimes e)(1 - \frac{1}{2}p^*K_C)))_1,$$

by Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch and $Td(q) = 1 - \frac{1}{2}p^*K_C$.

$$(q_*(ch(p^*M \otimes e).(1 - \frac{1}{2}p^*K_C)))_1 = q_*(ch(p^*M).ch(e).(1 - \frac{1}{2}p^*K_C))_2.$$

Now let us make it more explicit

(3)

$$ch(p^*M).ch(e).(1 - \frac{1}{2}p^*K_C) = (1 + p^*M).(r + c_1(e) + \frac{1}{2}(c_1^2(e) + 2c_2(e)).(1 - \frac{1}{2}p^*K_C),$$

where r is the rank of e .

We take the degree two part of (3), remarking that $p^*M.p^*K_C = 0$, and we obtain

$$(4) \quad (ch(q_!(p^*M \otimes e)))_1 = p^*M.c_1(e) - \frac{1}{2}c_1(e).p^*K_C + \frac{1}{2}(c_1^2(e) + 2c_2(e)).$$

So the morphism Φ_e^P between the Picard groups with rational coefficients commutes with the KFM with kernel e . Combining this with the commutative diagram (1) we get the commutative diagram (2). $\square$

It is clear that the affine map Φ_e^P restricted to $\text{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}^0(C)$ does not give a group morphism to $\text{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}^0(C')$. Remark anyway that only the first term of $\Phi_e^P(M)$ depends on M , while the other terms are constant with respect to it.

Our aim is to find a morphism between the Jacobian varieties which is compatible with Φ_e^P on the degree zero part of the rational Picard group. Such a morphism should be a group homomorphism first, especially it has to send the zero of $J(C)$ to the zero of $J(C')$.

Let us define

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_e^J : J(C) &\longrightarrow J(C') \\ M &\longmapsto q_*(p^*(M - \mathcal{O}_C).c_1(e)), \end{aligned}$$

where e is a class in the Grothendieck group $K(C \times C')$ and $\mathcal{O}_C$ is the unity in $J(C)$. This is the classical Fourier transform with kernel $c_1(e)$ between the Jacobian varieties, and we are referring to that by JF.

Consider the morphism $\phi_e^{J_{\mathbb{Q}}}$, induced by ϕ_e^J on $J_{\mathbb{Q}}(C)$. It coincides with the one induced by ϕ_e^P on $J_{\mathbb{Q}}(C)$, which is in turn induced by $\Phi_{\mathcal{E}}$. So far we can say that the the DFM with kernel $\mathcal{E}$ induces on $J_{\mathbb{Q}}(C)$ the morphism $\phi_e^{J_{\mathbb{Q}}}$. This is unique since it is the only linear morphism induced. We want to make the morphism descend to a morphism ϕ :

$J(C) \rightarrow J(C')$, but this can be done in a unique way and the result is the JF ϕ_J^e .

We can then conclude that the JF $\phi_J^e : J(C) \rightarrow J(C')$ is the only morphism compatible with the DFM $\Phi_{\mathcal{E}} : \mathbf{D}(C) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(C')$. Moreover, the correspondence between DFMs and JFs is functorial.

Lemma 2.3. *The correspondence between derived Fourier-Mukai functors and Fourier transforms on the Jacobian varieties associating ϕ_e^J to $\Phi_{\mathcal{E}}$ is functorial.*

Proof. Given a smooth projective curve C , the identity on $\mathbf{D}(C)$ is given by the DFM with kernel $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta}$, the structure sheaf of the diagonal in $C \times C$. The identity on $J(C)$ clearly corresponds to it.

It is clear by Lemma 2.2 that the correspondence between DFMs and the affine maps is functorial. Indeed if we consider two composable DFMs $\Phi_{\mathcal{E}_1}$ and $\Phi_{\mathcal{E}_2}$ and their composition $\Phi_{\mathcal{R}}$, the affine maps $\Phi_{e_1}^P$ and $\Phi_{e_2}^P$ are composable and their composition is given by the affine map Φ_r^P .

Now the rational linear map $\phi_e^{J_{\mathbb{Q}}}$ is the linear map associated to Φ_e^P . Consider in general two composable affine maps $F_1 : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ and $F_2 : V_2 \rightarrow V_3$ between vector spaces. Each map F_i induces the linear map f_i . The composition $F_2 \circ F_1$ induces the linear map $f_2 \circ f_1$, the composition of f_1 and f_2 .

This general consideration allows us to state that the correspondence associating the linear map $\phi_e^{J_{\mathbb{Q}}}$ to the DFM $\Phi_{\mathcal{E}}$ is functorial. The last step is just remarking that the functoriality for $\phi_e^{J_{\mathbb{Q}}}$ implies the functoriality for ϕ_e^J . $\square$

Remark 2.4. Let us observe what happens to the kernel of the JF when we modify the kernel of the DFM. These remarks will be useful in the next section.

The DFM with kernel $\mathcal{E}[1]$ induces the JF with kernel $-c_1(e)$. This can be computed remarking that $[\mathcal{E}] = -[\mathcal{E}[1]]$ by the definition of $[\] : \mathbf{D}(C) \rightarrow K(C)$. Here $[1]$ means the shift one step on the right.

The DFM with kernel $\mathcal{E}^{\vee}$ induces the JF with kernel $-c_1(e)$. This can be computed remarking that the Chern polynomial $c_t(e^{\vee})$ satisfies $c_t(e^{\vee}) = c_{-t}(e)$.

Given line bundles F on C and F' on C' , the DFM with kernel $\mathcal{E} \otimes p^*F \otimes q^*(F')$ induces the JF with kernel $c_1(e)$. This can be computed remarking that $p^*F \cdot q^*M = q^*F' \cdot p^*M = p^*F \cdot q^*F' = 0$ for any element M in $J(C)$. In the terminology of [BL], Chapter 11, we would say that we have two equivalent correspondances.

3. PRESERVATION OF THE PRINCIPAL POLARIZATION

So far we know how a DFM $\Phi_{\mathcal{E}} : \mathbf{D}(C) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(C')$ acts on the Jacobian varieties. The main question is now taken into account: does the morphism ϕ_e^J preserve the principal polarization of $J(C)$?

To answer this question, recall that a principal polarization on an abelian variety A defines an isomorphism $\theta_A : A \rightarrow \hat{A}$. Given an isogeny $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ between two abelian varieties, we can define the dual isogeny $\hat{\phi} : \hat{B} \rightarrow \hat{A}$ between the dual varieties. If both A and B have a principal polarization, the isogeny ϕ respects them if the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\phi} & B \\ \theta_A \downarrow & & \downarrow \theta_B \\ \hat{A} & \xleftarrow{\hat{\phi}} & \hat{B} \end{array}$$

is commutative. In the case of the Jacobian variety $J(C)$ of a smooth projective curve C we know the principal polarization $\theta_C : J(C) \rightarrow \hat{J}(C)$.

We can finally show how to get a positive answer to our question. We identify, by means of the isomorphisms θ_C and $\theta_{C'}$ the Jacobian varieties $J(C)$ and $J(C')$ with their duals. What we have to do is just checking that the composition $\hat{\phi}_e^J \circ \phi_e^J$ is the identity map on $J(C)$. The dual isomorphism $\hat{\phi}_e^J$ can be obtained as the JF in the opposite way with the same kernel as ϕ_e^J . Namely

$$(5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \hat{\phi}_e^J : & J(C') & \longrightarrow J(C) \\ & M' & \longmapsto p_*(q^*(M' - \mathcal{O}_{C'}) \cdot c_1(e)), \end{array}$$

see for exemple [BL], Chapter 11, Proposition 5.3.

Let us come back to derived categories. Given a DFM equivalence $\Phi_{\mathcal{E}} : \mathbf{D}(C) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(C')$ with kernel $\mathcal{E}$, we can describe the kernels $\mathcal{E}_L$ and $\mathcal{E}_R$ of its left and right adjoint. Since $\Phi_{\mathcal{E}}$ is an equivalence, its adjoints are its quasi-inverses. The left adjoint of $\Phi_{\mathcal{E}}$ is the DFM equivalence $\Phi_{\mathcal{E}_L} : \mathbf{D}(C') \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(C)$ with kernel

$$(6) \quad \mathcal{E}_L := \mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes q^* K_{C'}[1].$$

We know by remark 2.4 that the JF isomorphism induced by the DFM $\Phi_{\mathcal{E}_L}$ on the Jacobian varieties is given by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \phi_{e_L}^J : & J(C') & \longrightarrow J(C) \\ & M' & \longmapsto p_*(q^*(M' - \mathcal{O}_{C'}) \cdot c_1(e)) \end{array}$$

Then if $\Phi_{\mathcal{E}}$ induces on the Jacobian varieties the isomorphism ϕ_e^J , its quasi inverse $\Phi_{\mathcal{E}_L}$ induces the dual isomorphism $\hat{\phi}_e^J$.

We can finally state the following Theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Given two smooth projective curves C and C' , a Fourier Mukai functor $\Phi_{\mathcal{E}} : \mathbf{D}(C) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(C')$ is an equivalence if and only if the morphism $\phi_e^J : J(C) \rightarrow J(C')$ is an isomorphism preserving principal polarization.*

Proof. The proof is just a patchwork between everything we have said up to now. Indeed by Remark 2.4, we can easily check that the DFM with kernel (6) gives the JF (5). The proof follows by Lemma 2.3. $\square$

Remark 3.2. Recall that for smooth projective curves a derived equivalence always corresponds to an isomorphism (see for example [H1], Corollary 5.46). Theorem 3.1 just states the correspondence between the Torelli Theorem (see for example [GH], page 359) and the characterization of a curve by its derived category.

This correspondence is no longer valid for higher dimensional smooth projective varieties, first of all for K3 surfaces. Indeed there exist non isomorphic K3 surfaces which have equivalent derived categories. This leads to state two distinct Torelli-type Theorems (see [H2] for an overview). The classical global Torelli Theorem states that two K3 surfaces are isomorphic if and only if there exists a Hodge isometry between the degree two cohomology lattices with integer coefficients. The derived global Torelli Theorem states that two K3 surfaces have equivalent derived categories if and only if there exist a Hodge isometry between the Mukai lattices.

It happens however that, if we deal with smooth projective varieties with ample (anti-) canonical bundle, an equivalence between derived categories always induces an isomorphism between the varieties. So we can argue to generalize the correspondence in Theorem 3.1 to higher dimensional smooth projective varieties with ample (anti-) canonical bundle. A classical example could be the smooth cubic threefold in $\mathbb{P}^4$, for which we know the validity of a Torelli-type Theorem.

REFERENCES

- [BL] C. Birkenhake, H. Lange, *Complex Abelian Varieties*, Grundlehren der Math. Wissenschaften 302 (1992), Springer Verlag.
- [GH] P. Griffiths, J. Harris, *Principles of Algebraic Geometry*, Wiley Interscience (1978).
- [H1] D. Huybrechts, *Fourier-Mukai transforms in Algebraic Geometry*, Oxford Math. Monographs (2006).
- [H2] D. Huybrechts, *The global Torelli Theorem: classical, derived, twisted*, preprint math.AG/0609017.

LABORATOIRE J. A. DIEUDONNÉ, UNIVERSITÉ DE NICE SOPHIA ANTIPOLIS,
PARC VALROSE, 06108 NICE CEDEX 2 (FRANCE).

E-mail address: bernamar@math.unice.fr